

UNCOMMON DESCENT Serving the Intelligent Design Community

- <u>Home</u>
- About
- Resources
- Search

Uncommon Descent presents...

Can't see the Video? <u>Upgrade your Flash player</u>.

Donate to the Cause of Uncommon Descent



Quick Reference Resources

Frequently Raised But Weak Arguments Against Intelligent Design

Short Glossary of Terms

Advertisements

1 of 6 8/26/2009 10:08 PM

Intelligent Design Links

- ARN
- Behe responds to Lenski's latest on E. coli evolution
- Casey Luskin interview Mike Egnor and Jeff Schwartz
- Dawkins mistaken about a forced choice between God and evolution
- Definition of Intelligent Design
- Design Inference
- ID in the UK
- ID the future
- ISCID
- John A. Davison
- Michael Behe's UD Blog
- Overwhelming Evidence
- Post-Darwinist
- Telic Thoughts

Moderation Policy

• Comment Policy

Recent Comments

StephenB: If Darwinists, [you if you like] think that a universe can appear without a cause, matter can come from...

yakky d: Some guys in dark suits and sunglasses probably showed up at his door and "advised" him to take...

ECM: Right. He received missives from those that found it "objectionable" because there were...

Lenoxus: Q: Why did so much diverse life appear on Earth within such a relatively short amount of time? A: Someone...

<u>Dave Wisker</u>: Hi mad doc, So you think an 11% increase in mean fitness after 10 generations (the progeny measure), and...

DeLurker: Joseph#50 Using the description of cumulative selection along with the illustration using the weasel...

2 of 6 8/26/2009 10:08 PM

Recent Entries

- DNA's use in computer chip design
- Behe and McWhorter on Bloggingheads
- Cambrian Explosion Caught on Film
- Uncommon Descent Contest Question 10: Provide the Code for Dawkins' WEASEL Program
- Hunter Baker's THE END OF SECULARISM

Meta

- Register
- Log in
- Entries RSS
- Comments RSS
- WordPress.org

Support Our Efforts by Buying Books on Intelligent Design



Categories

- Adminstrative
- Atheism
- Biography
- Biology
- Chemistry
- Comp. Sci. / Eng.
- Constitution
- Courts
- <u>Creationism</u>

3 of 6 8/26/2009 10:08 PM

- Culture
- Darwinism
- DCA
- Education
- Ethics
- Evolution
- Expelled
- Eyes Rolling
- Genomics
- Global Warming
- Human evolution
- Humor
- Informatics
- Intelligent Design
- Irreducible Complexity
- Just For Fun
- Laws
- <u>Legal</u>
- Media
- Molecular Animations
- Off Topic
- Origin Of Life
- Philosophy
- Physics
- Poe's Law
- Psychology
- Religion
- <u>Science</u>
- Self-Org. Theory
- The Design of Life
- theistic evolution
- Video

Archives

- August 2009
- July 2009
- June 2009
- May 2009 • April 2009
- March 2009
- February 2009
- January 2009 • December 2008
- November 2008
- October 2008
- September 2008
- August 2008
- July 2008
- June 2008
- May 2008
- April 2008 • March 2008
- February 2008
- January 2008 • December 2007
- November 2007
- October 2007
- September 2007
- August 2007
- July 2007
- June 2007
- May 2007

8/26/2009 10:08 PM 4 of 6

- April 2007
- March 2007
- February 2007
- January 2007
- December 2006
- November 2006
- October 2006
- September 2006
- August 2006
- July 2006
- June 2006
- May 2006
- April 2006
- March 2006
- February 2006
- January 2006
- December 2005
- November 2005 • October 2005
- September 2005
- August 2005
- <u>July 2005</u>
- June 2005
- May 2005
- April 2005

« A Bogey Moment: The Human... Hunter Baker's THE END OF ... »

25 August 2009

The argument just keeps rumbling on ...

William Dembski



A curious piece was posted a few days back by Ewen Callaway at the New Scientist (go here). Its focus was on the recent IEEE paper by Robert Marks and me on conservation of information (for the paper, go here). Callaway remarks: "Even if a paper supporting ID has made it past peer review — and no doubt the arguments will rumble on — it seems like nothing much has changed."

Callaway and his colleagues are welcome to hide their heads in the sand and pretend that nothing has changed. But at the next Dover trial, as the body of peer-reviewed work supporting ID continues to grow (Marks and I have plenty in the pipeline, and there are other labs now getting into the act), it will no longer be possible for the next Judge Jones to dismiss ID for lack of peer-reviewed papers (even at the Dover trial, Jones was mistaken to claim that no peer-reviewed work supports ID).

Nothing much has changed when a camel first starts sticking its nose into a tent. And nothing much has changed just at the moment something begins to slide down a slipperly slope. Nothing much has changed when a virulent bug first invades a body. But soon enough everything has changed.

8/26/2009 10:08 PM 5 of 6





Ads by Google Human Scale Human Wigs Darwin ID Theory Dembski

This entry was posted Tuesday, August 25th, 2009 at 9:24 am and is filed under <u>Intelligent Design</u>. Both comments and pings are currently closed.

Comments are closed.

RSS: Entries | Comments | © 2006 Uncommon Descent Design by Zeit Studios Hosted by Network Omega

6 of 6